

# **HERCA Multi-Stakeholder Workshop on Generic Justification**

## **Plenary Session 1:**

**How can Health Technology Assessment  
(HTA) contribute to the justification  
process of new types of practices?**

**Co-Chairs:**

**Eva G. Friberg (NRPA) and Ritva Bly (STUK)**

# Health Technology Assessment (HTA)



- HTA – a systematic evaluation of
  - Available knowledge on **safety** and **clinical effect**
  - Cost-effectiveness
  - Ethical, social, organizational and juridical aspects
- HTA – a tool for decision-making
  - **Introduction** of new methods
  - **Phase-out** of methods no longer considered clinical effective or safe

# Integrate Generic Justification into HTA

## Rationale:

- Risk-benefit evaluation in generic justification similar to total risk/benefit assessment already performed in HTA
  - Integrate radiation detriment in total risk-assessment
- Bringing together all assessments/evaluation in one process
  - All aspects taken into account in the final decision-making process
- Bringing together relevant stakeholders
  - Cooperation between RP authorities and HTA bodies

# Questions to be discussed

- How can HTA contribute to the justification process of new types of practices?
  - What are the **strength** of the HTA approach?
  - What are the potential **weak points** of the HTA approach?
  - How could these weak points be **strengthened** within the HTA approach
    - by **Radiation Protection Authorities** on a MS level?
    - by **HERCA** on an European level?

# Outline of Session

- European Health Technology Assessment (HTA): Advantages and Experiences
  - Ulla Saalasti-Koskinen (National Institute for Health and Welfare)
  - Ritva Bly (Finnish Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority)
- Case Study: National System on HTA in Norway
  - Øyvind Melien (Norwegian Directorate of Health)
  - Eva G. Friberg (Norwegian Radiation Protection Authority)
- Feedback from Medical Associations and Manufacturers
- Round Table Discussion