

# Experiences in applying the general principle of justification

Mika Markkanen

#### **Contents**

- Experiences in implementing justification principle in Finland
- Some views for the future system of radiation protection
  - Is justification a fundamental principle or just a boundary within the process of optimization?



Experiences in implementing justification principle in Finland



#### **Radiation Act (859/2018)**

 Section 24 Justification assessment concerning new types of or existing practices

The undertaking shall demonstrate that a new type of radiation practice subject to a safety licence is justified. The same applies to existing radiation practices if new important information on the efficiency, possible consequences or alternative methods or techniques of the practice is obtained.

List of justified and not justified use of radiation

https://stuk.fi/en/prerequisites-for-a-safety-licence

 This presentation does not address the justification of medical exposure and non-medical imaging



#### Purpose and contents of the list

- Most commencing practices are similar to those exist already in Finland and are still considered justified.
- Implementation of graded approach: not warranted to repeat similar justification assessments for each individual application
- Purpose of the list is to help applicants to consider the need for a justification assessment when starting a new use of radiation.
- Concerns practices in which there is nothing abnormal compared to other same type of practices, for example in terms of
  - purpose of the use of radiation and radiation sources used,
  - the radioactive waste or discharges generated,
  - the working procedures, or
  - the arrangement related to radiation safety and security.



#### **Justified practices**

- Use of radiation exempted from safety license
- Use of radiation sources (sealed and sealed sources and devices containing them, as well as electrically emitting devices):
  - Use in research when the radiation is not directed at a human being
  - Use in the calibration and checking of radiation meters
  - Use in education as a teaching, demonstration and training tool
  - Trade, import, export and transfer
  - Installation, maintenance, repair and manufacture
  - Transport



#### **Justified practices**

- Use of sealed sources and electrically emitting devices:
  - Control and monitoring of industrial processes
  - Quality control of raw materials, products and waste
  - Analysis of material properties
  - Industrial radiography and similar imaging where radiation is not applied to humans
  - Irradiation of products, excluding foodstuffs
- Use of unsealed sources.
  - Tracer tests in industrial processes, except in water supply systems whose water is used for domestic purposes



#### **Justified practices**

- Use of electrically emitting radiation equipment
  - In the manufacture of radioisotopes
  - lon Implantation
  - Veterinary imaging
  - Security checks when radiation is not directed at humans
- Treatment and disposal of radioactive waste<sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>The assessment of the justification of practice must also take into account the waste generated and the exposure arising from waste management (Government Decree § 2(4)). When a practice is justified, the treatment and disposal of radioactive waste resulting from the activity is also justified.



## **Not justified practices**

- Intentional use of radioactive substances in the following products and the import, export and transfer of such products:
  - Foodstuffs within the meaning of the Foodstuffs Act
  - Feed within the meaning of the Feed Act
  - Cosmetic products within the meaning of Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council on cosmetic products
  - Jewellery and other similar personal accessories
  - Toys within the meaning of the Toy Safety Act (1154/2011)
  - Tracer tests in water supply systems whose water is used for domestic purposes
- Use of a high-activity sealed source without considering the possibility of using an electrically emitting device or other alternative technology instead



#### Rejected applications

- Placing radiation sources removed from smoke alarms into a work of art
- Some cases where pre-discussions with the applicant resulted to not to apply for a license because of high probability for rejection



- Some views for the future system of radiation protection
  - Is justification a fundamental principle or just a constraint within the process of optimization?



#### **Background**

- The current system of protection introduces the principles of justification, optimization, and dose limits as separate issues and to be applied in a distinct sequence:
- 1) assess justification,
- 2) if justified, optimize protection
- 3) ensure that dose limits are not exceeded.
- In practice, the regulators need to apply them much more "flexibly".



# The current system process: Only theory, not implemented in practice

- The principles cannot be implemented, in practice, in the manner foreseen by the current system of protection i.e. separately and in the distinct sequence, because:
  - Justification assessment requires knowledge on the exposures (in order to assess the harms) which always includes assumptions on the optimization of protection. So, there is always some a-priori optimization taking place before justification is assessed.
  - The current system "checks" the compliance with the dose limits after the justification assessment. In practice, this never happens.
    - No regulatory body would make a decision stating that "the proposed practice is justified but rejected because the dose limits may be exceeded".
    - A regulator would make a decision stating that "the proposed practice is not justified because the dose limits may be exceeded".



#### Implementation in practice

- So, in practice, the factual sequence of applying the principles are:
  - Planning phase optimization, which is done in conjunction with conducting the safety assessment of the planned practice.
    - This includes selection among different possible options affecting radiation protection such as selection of an appropriate source for the intended practice, shieldings, work procedures etc.
  - Checking that foreseen exposures do not exceed the dose limits, considering the outcome of the planning phase optimization.
  - Assessing the justification (more good than harm) of the intended practice, considering the outcome of the planning phase optimization.



#### Reassessment of justification

- There is a need also to reassess the justification of the practice where
- 1) significant changes to practice are planned,
- 2) other factors, on basis of which the justification of the practice was concluded, changes.
- Reassessment is done against an ongoing practice i.e. for a practice for which protection is assumed to be optimized under the prevailing circumstances.
  - Justification (as well as dose limits) is "a constraint" or "game stopper" in the process of optimization



#### **Conclusions**

- Optimization of protection is the key for the process and dose limitation and justification are just two distinct boundaries within which the process of optimization should remain.
- Dose limits and justification are de facto used as specific "game stoppers" or as specific boundaries for the process of optimization of protection.
- This means that optimization of protection is the only overarching fundamental principle for radiation protection.



## **Summary/Suggestion**

- As part of revising the system or radiation protection initiated by the ICRP, optimization of protection should be defined as the only fundamental principle for radiation protection.
  - Having only one fundamental principle which is guiding everything through the whole process could simply the system for protection and make it easier to apply and understand.
- Role of justification and dose limitation should be changed from fundamental principles to "boudaries/constraints/game stoppers" to be used within the process of optimization.
- This would ultimately not be a major change to the current system (or what it has intended to be): the same elements would remain; optimization, dose limitation and justification
  - only their role would change to what they de facto already are in their practical implementation

