

Heads of the European Radiological protection Competent Authorities

# Metabolic radionuclide therapy: blessing for patient, curse for regulator?

The HERCA workgroup on medical applications experience

Barbara Godthelp, HERCA WG MA, WP NM

HERCA ()>

### **Metabolic radionuclide therapy**

 Nuclear Medicine is a multi-professional, independent, medical discipline based on the application of probes labelled with radionuclides (radiopharmaceuticals) to both diagnose and treat various diseases. Its scope encompasses molecular imaging, image-guided procedures, and targeted radionuclide therapy- 'EANM'.



131-I thyroid cancer



**177-Lu-PSMA** prostate cancer metastases



17.1.2014

EN

Official Journal of the European Union

 $L \ 13/1$ 

Π

(Non-legislative acts)

#### DIRECTIVES

#### **COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 2013/59/EURATOM**

of 5 December 2013

laying down basic safety standards for protection against the dangers arising from exposure to ionising radiation, and repealing Directives 89/618/Euratom, 90/641/Euratom, 96/29/Euratom, 97/43/Euratom and 2003/122/Euratom



### **Considerations**

(29) A *high level of competence* and a *clear definition of responsibilities and tasks* among all professionals involved in medical exposure is fundamental to ensure adequate protection of patients undergoing medical radiodiagnostic and radiotherapeutic procedures.

### Definitions

(80) "radiodiagnostic" means pertaining to in-vivo diagnostic *nuclear medicine*, medical diagnostic radiology using ionising radiation, and dental radiology;

(81) "radiotherapeutic" means pertaining to radiotherapy, *including nuclear medicine* for therapeutic purposes



### **Article 19 Justification of practices**

1. Member States shall ensure that *new classes or types of practices resulting in exposure to ionising radiation are justified before being adopted* 

### **Article 55 Justification**

2. Member States shall ensure that the principle defined in paragraph 1 is applied and in particular that:

(b) all individual medical exposures are justified in advance taking into account the *specific objectives of the exposure and the characteristics of the individual involved* 



### Challenges justification<sup>1</sup>

- Justification of a procedure in medicine on level 2 (so called "generic justification") does not necessarily mean that its application to a particular patient is justified on level 3 (so called "individual justification").
- From a regulatory point of view, they need separate consideration from a legal and operational point of view
- Art. 19.4 BSS refers to Art. 55 when medical exposures are involved and underlines that associated *occupational and public exposures* have to be considered, where relevant.
- For regulators, these claims define important constraints to be considered with regard to the *justification of new types of practices*.
- Justification for the medical field requires demonstrated sufficient net benefit for medical exposures based on an adequate level of evidence (biomedical/clinical research).

<sup>1</sup> Multi-stakeholder Workshops on Generic Justification and Accidental and Unintended Exposures : HERCA

HFR

#### **Article 56 Optimisation**

1. Member States shall ensure that *all doses* due to medical exposure for radiodiagnostic, interventional radiology, planning, guiding and verification purposes *are kept as low as reasonably achievable* consistent with obtaining the required medical information, taking into account economic and societal factors.

For all medical exposure of patients for radiotherapeutic purposes, exposures of target volumes shall be individually planned and their delivery appropriately verified taking into account that doses to nontarget volumes and tissues shall be as low as reasonably achievable and consistent with the intended radiotherapeutic purpose of the exposure.

6. Member States shall ensure that in the case of a patient undergoing treatment or diagnosis with radionuclides, the practitioner or the undertaking, as specified by Member States, provides the patient or their representative with *information on the risks of ionising radiation and appropriate instructions* with a view to restricting doses to persons in contact with the patient as far as reasonably achievable. For therapeutic procedures these shall be *written instructions*. These instructions shall be handed out before leaving the hospital or clinic or a similar institution.



#### **Article 58 Procedures**

Member States shall ensure that:

(d) in medical radiological practices, *a medical physics expert is appropriately involved*, the level of involvement being commensurate with the radiological risk posed by the practice. In particular:

(i) in radiotherapeutic practices other than standardised therapeutic nuclear medicine practices, *a medical physics expert shall be closely involved*;

(ii) in standardised therapeutical nuclear medicine practices as well as in radiodiagnostic and interventional radiology practices, involving high doses as referred to in point (c) of Article 61(1), a medical physics expert shall be involved;

(iii) for other medical radiological practices not covered by points (a) and (b), a medical physics expert shall be involved, as appropriate, for consultation and advice on matters relating to radiation protection concerning medical exposure.



### **Article 61 Special practices**

1. Member States shall ensure that appropriate medical radiological equipment, practical techniques and ancillary equipment is used in medical exposure:

(c) involving high doses to the patient, which may be the case in interventional radiology, *nuclear medicine*, computed tomography or radiotherapy. *Special attention* shall be given *to quality assurance programmes* and *the assessment of dose* or *verification of administered activity* for these practices.





Journal of Radiological Protection



Official journal of the Society for Radiological Protection

#### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

### Radiation safety of current European practices of therapeutic nuclear medicine: survey results from 20 HERCA countries

To cite this article: Ritva Bly 2023 J. Radiol. Prot. 43 011507

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



• Survey results from 20/32 HERCA countries (WP NM)



■Used ■Not used ■Not known

Figure 1. Therapeutic use of radionuclides in 20 European countries per radionuclide licensed by a radiation protection authority.



HERCA ()>

HERCA workshop EU-BSSD implementation, 20th-22

- Patient hospitalisation and waste water management
  - 65% of responders regulatory requirements for hospitalisation if reference criterium (1 mSv for members of the public; dose rate limitation 5-30 µSv at 1m) is exceeded
  - Treatment specific radioactive waste management only in few responders (depending on the radiopharmaceutical)



- Justification of new radiopharmaceuticals
  - Regulatory requirements for justification in 85% of responders; involvement other ministeries
- Individual treatment planning and –verification
  - For all radionuclide therapies in 55%, some therapies in 30%, not required in 15 % of responders
  - Dosimetry in 85% of the responders (<sup>90</sup>Y-labelled microspheres, <sup>131</sup>I, <sup>166</sup>Holabelled microspheres, <sup>177</sup>Lu-labelled PSMA)
  - Verification required in 45 % of the responders



- Involvement MPE
  - The requirement for the MPE to be closely involved in non-standardized radionucliude therapies is implemented differently in the responding countries (including: 'available without delay', 'being present during treatment', 'involved in different steps, but not required during the dose delivery')
  - EANM position paper on art 56 BSSD for nuclear medicine therapy (European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (2021) 48:67–72)
- Radiation protection instructions
  - Deceased recently treated patients in 70 %, cremation in 65 % MS
  - Release criteria in all MS
  - Patient release card (HERCA)





2011



### EU-BSS directive implementation: Identified challenges.

### Metabolic radionuclide therapy: Challenges for regulatory bodies rather than a curse

#### Article 19 and 55 Justification

- For justification of new types of practices involving use of radiopharmaceuticals associated occupational and public exposures have to be considered
- > Justification on generic level precedes new practices in medical exposure

#### Article 56.1 Individually planned target volumes

- Large differences identified in the practices among hospitals in Europe: a few perform dosimetry, software is more in test use/under development or there is a lack of evidence regarding the use of them
- > Overlap with pharma legislation (DG Health)
- Co-operation with HERCA and EANM might increase awareness of HERCA inspectors on possibilities of dosimetry tools and EANM might support hospitals to implement new regulations based on the Article 56.1

HERCA 🕅

### EU-BSS directive implementation: Identified challenges.

- Metabolic radionuclide therapy continued
  - Article 58 d i) Involvement MPE
    - > Large differences were identified in interpretation of the Article
    - HERCA statement on implementation of the article might support national practices
    - > EANM position paper
  - Article 61.1 quality assurance programmes, assessment of dose, verification of administered activity
    - Finding partners to be able implement EU-BSS directive properly and optimize radiation protection
      - Field of radiology: fruitful collaboration with COCIR
      - Comparable collaboration in field of Metabolic radionuclide therapy (NMEU)



### Questions



